

Economics 101A (Lecture 12)

Stefano DellaVigna

March 3, 2015

Outline

1. Mid-Term Feedback
2. Investment in Risky Asset
3. Time Consistency
4. Time Inconsistency

1 Mid-Term Feedback

- Thanks for the feedback!

2 Investment in Risky Asset

- Individual has:
 - wealth w
 - utility function u , with $u' > 0$
- Two possible investments:
 - Asset B (bond) yields return 1 for each dollar
 - Asset S (stock) yields uncertain return $(1 + r)$:
 - * $r = r_+ > 0$ with probability p
 - * $r = r_- < 0$ with probability $1 - p$
 - * $Er = pr_+ + (1 - p)r_- > 0$
- Share of wealth invested in stock $S = \alpha$

- Individual maximization:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{\alpha} (1 - p) u(w [(1 - \alpha) + \alpha (1 + r_-)]) + \\ & + pu(w [(1 - \alpha) + \alpha (1 + r_+)]) \\ & s.t. 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

- Case of risk neutrality: $u(x) = a + bx, b > 0$

- Assume $a = 0$ (no loss of generality)

- Maximization becomes

$$\max_{\alpha} b(1 - p)(w[1 + \alpha r_-]) + bp(w[1 + \alpha r_+])$$

or

$$\max_{\alpha} bw + \alpha bw [(1 - p)r_- + pr_+]$$

- Sign of term in square brackets? Positive!

- Set $\alpha^* = 1$

- Case of risk aversion: $u'' < 0$
- Assume $0 \leq \alpha^* \leq 1$, check later

- First order conditions:

$$0 = (1 - p)(wr_-) u'(w[1 + \alpha r_-]) + p(wr_+) u'(w[1 + \alpha r_+])$$

- Can $\alpha^* = 0$ be solution?

- Solution is $\alpha^* > 0$ (positive investment in stock)

- Exercise: Check s.o.c.

3 Time consistency

- Intertemporal choice
- Three periods, $t = 0$, $t = 1$, and $t = 2$
- At each period i , agents:
 - have income $M'_i = M_i + \text{savings/debts from previous period}$
 - choose consumption c_i ;
 - can save/borrow $M'_i - c_i$
 - no borrowing in last period: at $t = 2$ $M'_2 = c_2$

- Utility function at $t = 0$

$$u(c_0, c_1, c_2) = U(c_0) + \frac{1}{1 + \delta} U(c_1) + \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2} U(c_2)$$

- Utility function at $t = 1$

$$u(c_1, c_2) = U(c_1) + \frac{1}{1 + \delta} U(c_2)$$

- Utility function at $t = 2$

$$u(c_2) = U(c_2)$$

- $U' > 0, U'' < 0$

- Question: Do preferences of agent in period 0 agree with preferences of agent in period 1?

- **Period 1.**

- Budget constraint at $t = 1$:

$$c_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}c_2 \leq M'_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}M_2$$

- Maximization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max & U(c_1) + \frac{1}{1+\delta}U(c_2) \\ \text{s.t.} & c_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}c_2 \leq M'_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}M_2 \end{aligned}$$

- First order conditions:

- Ratio of f.o.c.s:

$$\frac{U'(c_1)}{U'(c_2)} = \frac{1+r}{1+\delta}$$

- Back to **period 0**.
- Agent at time 0 can commit to consumption at time 1 as function of uncertain income M_1 .
- Anticipated budget constraint at $t = 1$:

$$c_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}c_2 \leq M'_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}M_2$$

- Maximization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max & U(c_0) + \frac{1}{1+\delta}U(c_1) + \frac{1}{(1+\delta)^2}U(c_2) \\ \text{s.t.} & c_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}c_2 \leq M'_1 + \frac{1}{1+r}M_2 \end{aligned}$$

- First order conditions:
- Ratio of f.o.c.s:

$$\frac{U'(c_1)}{U'(c_2)} = \frac{1+r}{1+\delta}$$

- The two conditions coincide!
- **Time consistency.** Plans for future coincide with future actions.

- To see why, rewrite utility function $u(c_0, c_1, c_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & U(c_0) + \frac{1}{1 + \delta}U(c_1) + \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2}U(c_2) \\
 = & U(c_0) + \frac{1}{1 + \delta} \left[U(c_1) + \frac{1}{1 + \delta}U(c_2) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

- Expression in brackets coincides with utility at $t = 1$
- Is time consistency right?
 - addictive products (alcohol, drugs);
 - good actions (exercising, helping friends);
 - immediate gratification (shopping, credit card borrowing)

4 Time Inconsistency

- Alternative specification (Akerlof, 1991; Laibson, 1997; O'Donoghue and Rabin, 1999)

- Utility at time t is $u(c_t, c_{t+1}, c_{t+2})$:

$$u(c_t) + \frac{\beta}{1 + \delta} u(c_{t+1}) + \frac{\beta}{(1 + \delta)^2} u(c_{t+2}) + \dots$$

- Discount factor is

$$1, \frac{\beta}{1 + \delta}, \frac{\beta}{(1 + \delta)^2}, \frac{\beta}{(1 + \delta)^3}, \dots$$

instead of

$$1, \frac{1}{1 + \delta}, \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^2}, \frac{1}{(1 + \delta)^3}, \dots$$

- What is the difference?
- *Immediate gratification*: $\beta < 1$

- Back to our problem: **Period 1.**

- Maximization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max U(c_1) + \frac{\beta}{1 + \delta} U(c_2) \\ \text{s.t. } c_1 + \frac{1}{1 + r} c_2 \leq M'_1 + \frac{1}{1 + r} M_2 \end{aligned}$$

- First order conditions:

- Ratio of f.o.c.s:

$$\frac{U'(c_1^*)}{U'(c_2^*)} = \beta \frac{1 + r}{1 + \delta}$$

- Now, **period 0** with commitment.

- Maximization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max U(c_0) + \frac{\beta}{1 + \delta} U(c_1) + \frac{\beta}{(1 + \delta)^2} U(c_2) \\ \text{s.t. } c_1 + \frac{1}{1 + r} c_2 \leq M'_1 + \frac{1}{1 + r} M_2 \end{aligned}$$

- First order conditions:

- Ratio of f.o.c.s:

$$\frac{U'(c_1^{*,c})}{U'(c_2^{*,c})} = \frac{1 + r}{1 + \delta}$$

- The two conditions differ!

- Time inconsistency: $c_1^{*,c} < c_1^*$ and $c_2^{*,c} > c_2^*$

- The agent allows him/herself too much immediate consumption and saves too little

- Ok, we agree. but should we study this as economists?

- YES!
 - One trillion dollars in credit card debt;
 - Most debt is in teaser rates;
 - Two thirds of Americans are overweight or obese;
 - \$10bn health-club industry

- Is this testable?
 - In the laboratory?
 - In the field?

5 Next lecture and beyond

- Time Inconsistency
- Production Function