Economics 101A
(Lecture 5)

Stefano DellaVigna

February 3, 2015

Outline

1. Properties of Preferences II
2. From Preferences to Utility (and viceversa)
3. Common Utility Functions
4. Utility maximization

1 Properties of Preferences II

- Nicholson, Ch. 3, pp. 89-90

- Commodity set X (apples vs. strawberries, work vs. leisure, consume today vs. tomorrow)

- Preference relation $\succeq$ over X

- A preference relation $\succeq$ is *rational* if

  1. It is *complete*: For all x and y in X, either $x \succeq y$, or $y \succeq x$ or both

  2. It is *transitive*: For all x, y, and z, $x \succeq y$ and $y \succeq z$ implies $x \succeq z$

- Preference relation $\succeq$ is *continuous* if for all y in X, the sets $\{x : x \succeq y\}$ and $\{x : y \succeq x\}$ are closed sets.

- Example 2: choice of combinations of apples and oranges: $X = \{(1,0), (0,1), (1,1), (0,0)\}$

- Example 2: $X = R^2$ with map of indifference curves

- Counterexamples:

  1. Incomplete preferences. Dominance rule.

2. Intransitive preferences. Quasi-discernible differences.

3. Discontinuous preferences. Lexicographic order

- Indifference relation $\sim$: $x \sim y$ if $x \succeq y$ and $y \succeq x$

- Strict preference: $x \succ y$ if $x \succeq y$ and not $y \succeq x$

- Exercise. If $\succeq$ is rational,
  - $\succ$ is transitive
  - $\sim$ is transitive
  - Reflexive property of $\succeq$. For all x, $x \succeq x$.

- Other features of preferences

- Preference relation $\succeq$ is:

  - *monotonic* if $x \geq y$ implies $x \succeq y$.

  - *strictly monotonic* if $x \geq y$ and $x_j > y_j$ for some j implies $x \succ y$.

  - *convex* if for all x, y, and z in X such that $x \succeq z$ and $y \succeq z$, then $tx + (1 - t)y \succeq z$ for all t in $[0, 1]$

2 From preferences to utility

- Nicholson, Ch. 3

- Economists like to use utility functions $u : X \to R$

- $u(x)$ is 'liking' of good x

- $u(a) > u(b)$ means: I prefer a to b.

- **Def.** Utility function u represents preferences $\succeq$ if, for all x and y in X, $x \succeq y$ if and only if $u(x) \geq u(y)$.

- **Theorem.** If preference relation $\succeq$ is rational and continuous, there exists a continuous utility function $u : X \to R$ that represents it.

- [Skip proof]

- Example:

$$(x_1, x_2) \succeq (y_1, y_2) \text{ iff } x_1 + x_2 \geq y_1 + y_2$$

- Draw:

- Utility function that represents it: $u(x) = x_1 + x_2$

- But... Utility function representing $\succeq$ is not unique

- Take $3u(x)$ or $\exp(u(x))$

- $u(a) > u(b) \Longleftrightarrow \exp(u(a)) > \exp(u(b))$

- If $u(x)$ represents preferences $\succeq$ and f is a strictly increasing function, then $f(u(x))$ represents $\succeq$ as well.

- If preferences are represented from a utility function, are they rational?

  - completeness

  - transitivity

- Indifference curves: $u(x_1, x_2) = \bar{u}$

- They are just implicit functions! $u(x_1, x_2) - \bar{u} = 0$

$$\frac{dx_2}{dx_1} = -\frac{U'_{x_1}}{U'_{x_2}} = MRS$$

- Indifference curves for:
  - monotonic preferences;
  - strictly monotonic preferences;
  - convex preferences

3 Common utility functions

- Nicholson, Ch. 3, pp. 102-105

1. Cobb-Douglas preferences: $u(x_1, x_2) = x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{1-\alpha}$
   - $MRS = -\alpha x_1^{a-1} x_2^{1-\alpha} / (1-a) x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{-\alpha} = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{x_2}{x_1}$

2. Perfect substitutes: $u\left(x_1, x_2\right) = \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2$
   - $MRS = -\alpha/\beta$

3. Perfect complements: $u(x_1, x_2) = \min(\alpha x_1, \beta x_2)$

   - MRS discontinuous at $x_2 = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} x_1$

4. Constant Elasticity of Substitution: $u(x_1, x_2) = \left(\alpha x_1^\rho + \beta x_2^\rho\right)^{1/\rho}$

   - $MRS = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)^{\rho-1}$

   - if $\rho = 1$, then...

   - if $\rho = 0$, then...

   - if $\rho \to -\infty$, then...

4 Utility Maximization

- Nicholson, Ch. 4, pp. 119–128

- $X = R^2_+$ (2 goods)

- Consumers: choose bundle $x = (x_1, x_2)$ in X which yields highest utility.

- Constraint: income $= M$

- Price of good 1 $= p_1$, price of good 2 $= p_2$

- Bundle x is feasible if $p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 \leq M$

- Consumer maximizes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{x_1, x_2} u(x_1, x_2) \\
&s.t.\ p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 \leq M \\
&x_1 \geq 0,\ x_2 \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

- Maximization subject to inequality. How do we solve that?

- Trick: u strictly increasing in at least one dimension. ($\succeq$ strictly monotonic)

- Budget constraint always satisfied with equality

- Ignore temporarily $x_1 \geq 0$, $x_2 \geq 0$ and check afterwards that they are satisfied for x_1^* and x_2^*.

- Problem becomes

$$\max_{x_1,x_2} u(x_1, x_2)$$
$$s.t.\ p_1x_1 + p_2x_2 - M = 0$$

5 Next Class

- Utility Maximization (ctd)
- Utility Maximization – tricky cases
- Indirect Utility Function