

Economics 101A

(Lecture 11)

Stefano DellaVigna

February 27, 2014

Outline

1. Introduction to probability
2. Expected Utility
3. Risk Aversion and Lottery
4. Measures of Risk Aversion
5. Insurance

1 Introduction to Probability

- Nicholson, Ch. 7, p. 209
- So far deterministic world:
 - income given, known M
 - interest rate known r
- But some variables are unknown at time of decision:
 - future income M_1 ?
 - future interest rate r_1 ?
- Generalize framework to allow for uncertainty

- Events that are truly unpredictable (weather)
- Event that are very hard to predict (future income)

- Probability is the language of uncertainty
- Example:
 - Income M_1 at $t = 1$ depends on state of the economy
 - Recession ($M_1 = 20$), Slow growth ($M_2 = 25$), Boom ($M_3 = 30$)
 - Three probabilities: p_1, p_2, p_3
 - $p_1 = P(M_1) = P(\text{recession})$
- Properties:
 - $0 \leq p_i \leq 1$
 - $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 1$

- Mean income: $EM = \sum_{i=1}^3 p_i M_i$

- If $(p_1, p_2, p_3) = (1/3, 1/3, 1/3)$,

$$EM = \frac{1}{3}20 + \frac{1}{3}25 + \frac{1}{3}30 = \frac{75}{3} = 25$$

- Variance of income: $V(M) = \sum_{i=1}^3 p_i (M_i - EM)^2$

- If $(p_1, p_2, p_3) = (1/3, 1/3, 1/3)$,

$$\begin{aligned} V(M) &= \frac{1}{3}(20 - 25)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(25 - 25)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(30 - 25)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{3}5^2 + \frac{1}{3}5^2 = 2/3 * 25 \end{aligned}$$

- Mean and variance if $(p_1, p_2, p_3) = (1/4, 1/2, 1/4)$?

2 Expected Utility

- Nicholson, Ch. 7, pp. 210-217
- Consumer at time 0 asks: what is utility in time 1?
- At $t = 1$ consumer maximizes

$$\begin{aligned} & \max U(c^1) \\ & s.t. \ c_i^1 \leq M_i^1 + (1+r)(M^0 - c^0) \end{aligned}$$

with $i = 1, 2, 3$.

- What is utility at optimum at $t = 1$ if $U' > 0$?
- Assume for now $M^0 - c^0 = 0$
- Utility $U(M_i^1)$
- This is uncertain, depends on which i is realized!

- How do we evaluate future uncertain utility?

- **Expected utility**

$$EU = \sum_{i=1}^3 p_i U(M_i^1)$$

- In example:

$$EU = 1/3U(20) + 1/3U(25) + 1/3U(30)$$

- Compare with $U(EC) = U(25)$.
- Agents prefer riskless outcome EM to uncertain outcome M if

$$\begin{aligned} 1/3U(20) + 1/3U(25) + 1/3U(30) &< U(25) \text{ or} \\ 1/3U(20) + 1/3U(30) &< 2/3U(25) \text{ or} \\ 1/2U(20) + 1/2U(30) &< U(25) \end{aligned}$$

- Picture

- Depends on sign of U'' , on concavity/convexity
- Three cases:
 - $U''(x) = 0$ for all x . (linearity of U)
 - * $U(x) = a + bx$
 - * $1/2U(20) + 1/2U(30) = U(25)$
 - $U''(x) < 0$ for all x . (concavity of U)
 - * $1/2U(20) + 1/2U(30) < U(25)$
 - $U''(x) > 0$ for all x . (convexity of U)
 - * $1/2U(20) + 1/2U(30) > U(25)$

- If $U''(x) = 0$ (linearity), consumer is indifferent to uncertainty
- If $U''(x) < 0$ (concavity), consumer dislikes uncertainty
- If $U''(x) > 0$ (convexity), consumer likes uncertainty
- Do consumers like uncertainty?

- **Theorem. (Jensen's inequality)** If a function $f(x)$ is concave, the following inequality holds:

$$f(Ex) \geq Ef(x)$$

where E indicates expectation. If f is strictly concave, we obtain

$$f(Ex) > Ef(x)$$

- Apply to utility function U .

- Individuals dislike uncertainty:

$$U(Ex) \geq EU(x)$$

- Jensen's inequality then implies U concave ($U'' \leq 0$)
- Relate to diminishing marginal utility of income

3 Risk aversion and Lottery

- Risk aversion:
 - individuals dislike uncertainty
 - u concave, $u'' < 0$
- Implications?
 - purchase of insurance (possible accident)
 - investment in risky asset (risky investment)
 - choice over time (future income uncertain)

- Experiment — Are you risk-averse?

4 Measures of Risk Aversion

- Nicholson, Ch. 7, pp. 217-221
- How risk averse is an individual?

- Two measures:

- Absolute Risk Aversion r_A :

$$r_A = -\frac{u''(x)}{u'(x)}$$

- Relative Risk Aversion r_R :

$$r_R = -\frac{u''(x)}{u'(x)}x$$

- Examples in the Problem Set

5 Insurance

- Individual has:
 - wealth w
 - utility function u , with $u' > 0$, $u'' < 0$
- Probability p of accident with loss L
- Insurance offers coverage:
 - premium $\$q$ for each $\$1$ paid in case of accident
 - units of coverage purchased α
- Individual maximization:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\alpha} & (1 - p) u(w - q\alpha) + pu(w - q\alpha - L + \alpha) \\ \text{s.t.} & \alpha \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Assume $\alpha^* \geq 0$, check later

- First order conditions:

$$0 = -q(1-p)u'(w-q\alpha) + (1-q)pu'(w-q\alpha-L+\alpha)$$

or

$$\frac{u'(w-q\alpha)}{u'(w-q\alpha-L+\alpha)} = \frac{1-q}{q} \frac{p}{1-p}.$$

- Assume first $q = p$ (insurance is fair)
- Solution for $\alpha^* = ?$

- $\alpha^* > 0$, so we are ok!
- What if $q > p$ (insurance needs to cover operating costs)?
- Insurance will be only partial (if at all): $\alpha^* < L$
- Exercise: Check second order conditions!

6 Next Lectures

- Risk aversion
- Applications:
 - Portfolio choice
 - Consumption choice II