Economics 101A (Lecture 4)

Stefano DellaVigna

January 30, 2014

Outline

- 1. Constrained Maximization II
- 2. Envelope Theorem II
- 3. Preferences
- 4. Properties of Preferences

1 Constrained Maximization

• Lagrange Multiplier Theorem, necessary condition. Consider a problem of the type

$$\max_{x_1,...,x_n} f\left(x_1,x_2,...,x_n;\mathbf{p}\right) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \begin{cases} h_1\left(x_1,x_2,...,x_n;\mathbf{p}\right) = 0 \\ h_2\left(x_1,x_2,...,x_n;\mathbf{p}\right) = 0 \\ ... \\ h_m\left(x_1,x_2,...,x_n;\mathbf{p}\right) = 0 \end{cases}$$

with n > m. Let $\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{x}^*(\mathbf{p})$ be a local solution to this problem.

- Assume:
 - f and h differentiable at x^*
 - the following Jacobian matrix at \mathbf{x}^* has maximal rank

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}^*) & \dots & \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_n}(\mathbf{x}^*) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\partial h_m}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}^*) & \dots & \frac{\partial h_m}{\partial x_n}(\mathbf{x}^*) \end{pmatrix}$$

• Then, there exists a vector $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_m)$ such that (\mathbf{x}^*, λ) maximize the Lagrangean function

$$L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{p}) - \sum_{j=0}^{m} \lambda_j h_j(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{p})$$

- Case n = 2, m = 1.
- First order conditions are

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{p})}{\partial x_i} - \lambda \frac{\partial h(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{p})}{\partial x_i} = 0$$

for i = 1, 2

• Rewrite as

$$\frac{f'_{x_1}}{f'_{x_2}} = \frac{h'_{x_1}}{h'_{x_2}}$$

- Constrained Maximization, Sufficient condition for the case n=2, m=1.
- ullet If \mathbf{x}^* satisfies the Lagrangean condition, and the determinant of the bordered Hessian

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}^*) & -\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2}(\mathbf{x}^*) \\ -\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}^*) & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial^2 x_1}(\mathbf{x}^*) & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}^*) \\ -\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2}(\mathbf{x}^*) & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(\mathbf{x}^*) & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2 \partial x_2}(\mathbf{x}^*) \end{pmatrix}$$

is positive, then x^* is a constrained maximum.

- ullet If it is negative, then \mathbf{x}^* is a constrained minimum.
- Why? This is just the Hessian of the Lagrangean L with respect to λ , x_1 , and x_2

• Example 4: $\max_{x,y} x^2 - xy + y^2$ s.t. $x^2 + y^2 - p = 0$

•
$$\max_{x,y,\lambda} x^2 - xy + y^2 - \lambda(x^2 + y^2 - p)$$

- F.o.c. with respect to x:
- F.o.c. with respect to *y*:
- F.o.c. with respect to λ :
- Candidates to solution?
- Maxima and minima?

2 Envelope Theorem II

- Envelope Theorem II: Ch. 2, pp. 45-46
- Envelope Theorem for Constrained Maximization. In problem above consider $F(p) \equiv f(\mathbf{x}^*(\mathbf{p}); \mathbf{p})$. We are interested in dF(p)/dp. We can neglect indirect effects:

$$\frac{dF}{dp_i} = \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}^*(\mathbf{p}); \mathbf{p})}{\partial p_i} - \sum_{j=0}^m \lambda_j \frac{\partial h_j(\mathbf{x}^*(\mathbf{p}); \mathbf{p})}{\partial p_i}$$

- Example 4 (continued). $\max_{x,y} x^2 xy + y^2$ s.t. $x^2 + y^2 p = 0$
- $df(x^*(p), y^*(p))/dp$?
- Envelope Theorem.

3 Preferences

- Part 1 of our journey in microeconomics: Consumer Theory
- Choice of consumption bundle:
 - 1. Consumption today or tomorrow
 - 2. work, study, and leisure
 - 3. choice of government policy
- Starting point: preferences.
 - 1. 1 egg today \succ 1 chicken tomorrow
 - 2. 1 hour doing problem set \succ 1 hour in class \succ ... \succ 1 hour out with friends
 - 3. War on Iraq ≻ Sanctions on Iraq

4 Properties of Preferences

- Nicholson, Ch. 3, pp. 89-90
- Commodity set X (apples vs. strawberries, work vs. leisure, consume today vs. tomorrow)
- Preference relation \succeq over X
- A preference relation

 is rational if
 - 1. It is *complete*: For all x and y in X, either $x \succeq y$, or $y \succeq x$ or both
 - 2. It is *transitive*: For all x, y, and $z, x \succeq y$ and $y \succeq z$ implies $x \succeq z$
- Preference relation \succeq is *continuous* if for all y in X, the sets $\{x:x\succeq y\}$ and $\{x:y\succeq x\}$ are closed sets.

• Example 2: choice of combinations of apples and oranges: $X = \{(1,0), (0,1), (1,1), (0,0)\}$

• Example 2: $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ with map of indifference curves

- Counterexamples:
 - 1. Incomplete preferences. Dominance rule.

2. Intransitive preferences. Quasi-discernible differences.

3. Discontinuous preferences. Lexicographic order

- $\bullet \ \ \text{Indifference relation} \ \sim: \ x \sim y \ \text{if} \ x \succeq y \ \text{and} \ y \succeq x$
- ullet Strict preference: $x \succ y$ if $x \succeq y$ and not $y \succeq x$
- ullet Exercise. If \succeq is rational,
 - \succ is transitive
 - \sim is transitive
 - Reflexive property of \succeq . For all $x, x \succeq x$.

- Other features of preferences
- Preference relation ≥ is:
 - monotonic if $x \geq y$ implies $x \succeq y$.

- strictly monotonic if $x \geq y$ and $x_j > y_j$ for some j implies $x \succ y$.

- convex if for all x, y, and z in X such that $x \succeq z$ and $y \succeq z$, then $tx + (1-t)y \succeq z$ for all t in [0,1]

5 Next Class

- Properties of Preferences
- From Preferences to Utility
- Common Utility Functions